

REMARKS

Applicant has reviewed and considered the Office Action dated June 2, 2003 and the references cited therein. In response thereto, claims 1-33 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer; and new claims 34-49 are added. As a result, claims 34-49 are pending in the present application.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by EP98592. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Garcia et al U.S. 4,637,403. Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP98592 or Garcia et al U.S. 4,637,403 in view of Fischell U.S. 4,494,950. Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP98592 or Garcia et al. U.S. 4,637,403 in view of Usala U.S. 5,776,324. Claim 12 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP98592 or Garcia et al. U.S. 4,637,403 in view of Wong et al. U.S. 5,758,643.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections for the following reasons. Claims 1-33 are now canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, or narrowing the scope of the claimed invention. Further, Applicant respectfully submits that new claims 34-49 are supported by the specification and drawings. The rejections are moot in view of the new claims 34-49. Claims 34-48 recite a system of remotely controlling and remotely displaying an operation of the administering a fluid into a body. Claim 49 recites a method of remotely controlling and remotely displaying an operation of the administering a fluid into a body. Accordingly, the claimed invention is not only arranged to remotely display operating parameters of an administration device, but also arranged to remotely control operation of the administration device. Support can be at least found on page 18, second paragraph. None of the cited references disclose or teach a system or method of remotely controlling and remotely displaying an operation of administering a fluid into a body as recited. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 34-49 patentably distinguish over the cited references.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Examination and reconsideration of the present application are respectfully requested.

If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any remaining issues, please contact the undersigned at 612-340-6317.

Respectfully submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
Customer Number 25763

Date: November 20, 2003

By: 

David E. Bruhn, Reg. No. 36,762
Intellectual Property Department
Suite 1500
50 South Sixth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498
(612) 340-6317